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## Research Materials

## Appendix 1

Table 2 with frequencies, mean scores and standard deviations
Students' experience and opinion about online classes and assessments

| Variables | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Online Classes |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I enjoy online classes like traditional classes. | 1 | 5 | 3.31 | 1.73 |
| 2. Virtual classes open the doors to innovations more broadly. | 1 | 5 | 3.48 | 1.60 |
| 3. I know very well how to use different types of online platforms. | 2 | 5 | 4.71 | . 864 |
| 4. I understand better in virtual classes than in face-to-face classes. | 1 | 5 | 1.71 | 1.22 |
| 5. I never face any technical problems in attending online classes. | 1 | 5 | 2.77 | 1.24 |
| 6. Online classes are effective and high-quality learning platforms as face-to-face classes. | 1 | 5 | 2.33 | 1.43 |
| 7. I feel as satisfied with online lectures as traditional lectures. | 1 | 5 | 3.63 | 1.50 |
| 8. My experience of attending online classes was great. | 1 | 5 | 3.74 | 1.23 |
| Online Assessments |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Online tests are valid tools or alternatives to pen and paper exams. | 1 | 5 | 3.86 | 1.50 |
| 10. I never face any technical problems in doing online exams. | 1 | 5 | 3.93 | 1.65 |
| 11. Asynchronous assessment is a valid tool to examine students' progress and performance. | 1 | 5 | 1.49 | 1.12 |
| 12. Synchronous assessment is a valid tool to examine students' progress and performance. | 1 | 5 | 4.47 | 1.21 |
| 13. Synchronous subjective (written) test is the best type of test for the online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 1.79 | 1.48 |
| 14. Synchronous speaking test is the best type of test for the online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 1.26 | . 815 |
| 15. Synchronous objective exam is the best type of test for the online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 4.14 | 1.55 |
| 16. Synchronous objective, subjective followed by a speaking test is the best type of test for the online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 2.03 | 1.67 |
| 17. My performance in online exams was excellent. | 1 | 5 | 4.41 | . 947 |

$(1=$ strongly disagree to $5=$ strongly agree $)$
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## Appendix 2

Table 3 with frequencies, mean scores and standard deviations
Teachers' experience and opinion about online classes and assessments

| Variables | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Online Classes |  |  |  |  |
| 1. I enjoy conducting online classes like traditional classes. | 1 | 5 | 3.30 | 1.63 |
| 2. Virtual classes open the doors to innovations more broadly. | 1 | 5 | 3.37 | 1.61 |
| 3. I know very well how to use different types of online platforms. | 2 | 5 | 4.77 | . 767 |
| 4. My students understand better in virtual classes than in face-toface classes. | 1 | 5 | 1.33 | 1.11 |
| 5. I never face any technical problems in conducting online classes. | 1 | 5 | 2.70 | 1.32 |
| 6. Online classes are effective and high-quality learning platforms as face-to-face classes. | 1 | 5 | 2.30 | 1.24 |
| 7. I feel as satisfied with online lectures as traditional lectures. | 1 | 5 | 2.37 | 1.66 |
| 8. My experience of conducting online classes was great. | 1 | 5 | 4.00 | 1.04 |
| Online Assessments |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Online tests are valid tools or alternatives to pen and paper exams. | 1 | 5 | 2.43 | 1.38 |
| 10. I never face any technical problems in conducting online tests. | 1 | 5 | 2.63 | 1.31 |
| 11. Asynchronous assessment is a valid tool to examine students' progress and performance. | 1 | 5 | 1.15 | . 481 |
| 12. Synchronous assessment is a valid tool to examine students' progress and performance. | 1 | 5 | 4.85 | . 481 |
| 13. Synchronous subjective (written) test is the best type of test for online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 2.07 | 1.70 |
| 14. Synchronous speaking test is the best type of test for online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 1.33 | 1.11 |
| 15. Synchronous objective exam is the best type of test for online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 3.73 | 1.68 |
| 16. Synchronous objective, subjective followed by a speaking test is the best type of test for online assessment. | 1 | 5 | 4.47 | 1.17 |
| 17. My students' performance in online exams was excellent. | 1 | 5 | 3.90 | 1.10 |
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## Appendix 3

Table 4 with mean scores and standard deviations
Difference in experiences and opinions about online classes and assessments between students and teachers

| t-test for equality of means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | Groups | N | Mean | SD. | SEM | p |
| 1 | A (Students) | 90 | 3.31 | 1.73 | . 183 | .969* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 3.30 | 1.63 | . 210 |  |
| 2 | A (Students) | 90 | 3.48 | 1.60 | . 169 | .679* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 3.37 | 1.61 | . 209 |  |
| 3 | A (Students) | 90 | 4.71 | . 864 | . 091 | .687* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 4.77 | . 767 | . 099 |  |
| 4 | A (Students) | 90 | 1.71 | 1.22 | . 151 | . 118 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 1.33 | 1.11 | . 144 |  |
| 5 | A (Students) | 90 | 2.77 | 1.24 | . 130 | .753* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 2.70 | 1.32 | . 170 |  |
| 6 | A (Students) | 90 | 2.33 | 1.43 | . 151 | .883* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 2.30 | 1.24 | . 160 |  |
| 7 | A (Students) | 90 | 3.63 | 1.50 | . 158 | . 000 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 2.37 | 1.66 | . 214 |  |
| 8 | A (Students) | 90 | 3.74 | 1.23 | . 130 | .188* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 4.00 | 1.04 | . 134 |  |
| 9 | A (Students) | 90 | 3.86 | 1.50 | . 158 | . 000 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 2.43 | 1.38 | . 178 |  |
| 10 | A (Students) | 90 | 3.93 | 1.65 | . 174 | . 000 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 2.63 | 1.31 | . 170 |  |
| 11 | A (Students) | 90 | 1.49 | 1.12 | . 119 | . 012 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 1.15 | . 481 | . 062 |  |
| 12 | A (Students) | 90 | 4.47 | 1.21 | . 128 | . 008 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 4.85 | . 481 | . 062 |  |
| 13 | A (Students) | 90 | 1.79 | 1.48 | . 156 | .290* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 2.07 | 1.70 | . 219 |  |
| 14 | A (Students) | 90 | 1.26 | . 815 | . 086 | .644* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 1.33 | 1.11 | . 144 |  |
| 15 | A (Students) | 90 | 4.14 | 1.55 | . 164 | .133* |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 3.73 | 1.68 | . 216 |  |
| 16 | A (Students) | 90 | 2.03 | 1.67 | . 176 | . 000 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 4.47 | 1.17 | . 151 |  |
| 17 | A (Students) | 90 | 4.41 | . 947 | . 100 | . 003 |
|  | B (Teachers) | 60 | 3.90 | 1.10 | . 142 |  |
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## Appendix 4

## Table 5 with mean scores and standard deviations

One-way ANOVA for students' experiences and opinions about online classes and assessment with respect to country

| Items | (1) Saudi students ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ) (Mean, S.D.) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (2) Indian } \\ \text { students } \\ (n=30) \\ \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) Turkish } \\ & \text { students } \\ & (n=10) \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (4) British } \\ & \text { students } \\ & \text { (n=10) } \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (5) Canadian } \\ & \text { students } \\ & (n=10) \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { F } \\ \text { (ANOVA) } \end{gathered}$ | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.60 (1.83) | 3.20 (1.69) | 2.80 (1.75) | 3.30 (1.83) | 3.30 (1.64) | . 446 | . 775 |
| 2 | 4.13 (1.55) | 4.30 (1.29) | 3.00 (1.33) | 3.60 (1.43) | 4.00 (1.41) | 1.851 | . 127 |
| 3 | 3.97 (1.50) | 3.77 (1.61) | 2.20 (1.38) | 2.80 (1.55) | 3.10 (1.45) | 3.464 | . 011 |
| 4 | 4.67 (.922) | 4.90 (.548) | 4.70 (.949) | 4.40 (1.26) | 4.60 (.966) | . 735 | . 571 |
| 5 | 2.30 (1.51) | 1.27 (.450) | 1.00 (.000) | 2.10 (1.59) | 1.60 (1.26) | 4.469 | . 003 |
| 6 | 2.57 (1.07) | 3.23 (1.22) | 2.10 (1.10) | 2.40 (1.43) | 3.00 (1.33) | 2.449 | . 052 |
| 7 | 2.77 (1.52) | 2.03 (1.35) | 1.80 (1.23) | 2.40 (1.43) | 2.40 (1.43) | 1.402 | . 240 |
| 8 | 3.83 (1.51) | 4.37 (1.03) | 2.90 (1.45) | 2.60 (1.51) | 2.60 (1.51) | 5.971 | . 000 |
| 9 | 3.60 (1.52) | 4.13 (.776) | 3.20 (1.55) | 3.40 (1.17) | 3.90 (.876) | 1.617 | . 177 |
| 10 | 3.73 (1.66) | 4.37 (1.30) | 4.10 (.876) | 3.10 (1.66) | 3.20 (1.55) | 2.203 | . 075 |
| 11 | 3.90 (1.73) | 2.40 (1.43) | 2.80 (1.93) | 4.60 (1.26) | 4.63 (1.07) | 6.342 | . 000 |
| 12 | 1.73 (1.36) | 1.23 (.898) | 1.20 (.422) | 1.60 (1.26) | 1.70 (1.25) | 1.021 | . 401 |
| 13 | 4.43 (1.30) | 4.47 (1.22) | 5.00 (.000) | 4.30 (1.25) | 4.20 (1.48) | . 649 | . 629 |
| 14 | 1.37 (1.23) | 1.47 (1.14) | 2.70 (2.00) | 2.50 (1.78) | 2.40 (1.84) | 3.205 | . 017 |
| 15 | 1.37 (1.23) | 1.13 (.346) | 1.40 (.516) | 1.40 (1.26) | 1.00 (.000) | . 701 | . 593 |
| 16 | 4.53 (1.22) | 4.63 (1.13) | 3.40 (1.90) | 3.00 (1.76) | 3.40 (2.07) | 4.255 | . 003 |
| 17 | 1.30 (1.02) | 2.13 (1.72) | 2.60 (2.07) | 2.80 (1.75) | 2.60 (2.07) | 2.773 | . 032 |
| 18 | 4.53 (.766) | 4.73 (.640) | 4.10 (1.10) | 3.90 (1.45) | 3.90 (1.10) | 2.960 | . 024 |

Wahid, R., Farooq, O., \& Aziz, A. (2021).
The New Normal: Online Classes and Assessments during the COVID-19 Outbreak. Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society, 17(2), 85-96. https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/1135346

## Appendix 5

Table 6 with mean scores and standard deviations
One-way ANOVA for teachers' experiences and opinions about online classes and assessment with respect to country

| Items | $\begin{gathered} \text { (1) Saudi } \\ \text { teachers } \\ (\mathrm{n}=15) \\ \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (2) Indian } \\ & \text { teachers } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=15) \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (3) Turkish } \\ & \text { teachers } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=10) \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (4) British } \\ & \text { teachers } \\ & (n=10) \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (5) Canadian } \\ & \text { teachers } \\ & \text { (n=10) } \\ & \text { (Mean, S.D.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ \text { (ANOVA) } \end{gathered}$ | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.87 (1.68) | 2.60 (1.68) | 3.00 (1.56) | 3.20 (1.55) | 3.90 (1.37) | 1.649 | . 175 |
| 2 | 3.40 (1.80) | 3.20 (1.78) | 3.90 (1.37) | 2.80 (1.55) | 3.60 (1.43) | . 658 | . 624 |
| 3 | 5.00 (.000) | 4.40 (1.24) | 4.60 (.966) | 4.90 (.316) | 5.00 (.000) | 1.706 | . 162 |
| 4 | 1.80 (1.69) | 1.00 (.00) | 1.26 (1.03) | 1.80 (1.69) | 1.00 (.000) | 1.497 | . 267 |
| 5 | 2.33 (1.11) | 3.00 (1.46) | 3.00 (1.33) | 3.00 (1.56) | 2.20 (1.03) | 1.110 | . 361 |
| 6 | 2.27 (1.33) | 1.93 (.961) | 2.00 (1.15) | 2.90 (1.20) | 2.60 (1.51) | 1.229 | . 309 |
| 7 | 2.73 (1.71) | 2.20 (1.66) | 2.10 (1.59) | 2.20 (1.55) | 2.50 (1.96) | . 313 | . 868 |
| 8 | 4.20 (1.08) | 3.53 (1.36) | 4.00 (.943) | 4.20 (.632) | 4.20 (.789) | 1.081 | . 375 |
| 9 | 3.27 (1.71) | 1.73 (1.03) | 2.00 (1.15) | 2.30 (.949) | 2.80 (1.32) | 3.178 | . 020 |
| 10 | 2.80 (1.37) | 1.80 (.422) | 2.40 (1.17) | 3.60 (1.43) | 2.53 (1.36) | 2.830 | . 033 |
| 11 | 1.33 (.816) | 1.00 (.000) | 1.40 (.516) | 1.00 (.000) | 1.00 (.000) | 2.250 | . 076 |
| 12 | 4.80 (.414) | 4.93 (.258) | 4.80 (.422) | 4.70 (.949) | 5.00 (.000) | . 651 | . 629 |
| 13 | 2.47 (1.77) | 2.07 (1.83) | 2.70 (2.00) | 1.50 (1.27) | 1.40 (1.26) | 1.243 | . 304 |
| 14 | 1.00 (.00) | 1.27 (1.03) | 1.80 (1.69) | 1.80 (1.69) | 1.00 (.000) | 1.497 | . 216 |
| 15 | 3.87 (1.55) | 3.52 (1.77) | 2.80 (1.93) | 3.80 (1.75) | 4.70 (.949) | 1.777 | . 147 |
| 16 | 4.80 (.775) | 4.13 (1.51) | 4.00 (1.63) | 4.50 (.972) | 4.90 (.316) | 1.384 | . 252 |
| 17 | 4.07 (1.16) | 3.47 (1.30) | 4.00 (1.15) | 4.10 (.316) | 4.00 (1.15) | . 780 | . 543 |


[^0]:    ( $1=$ strongly disagree to $5=$ strongly agree)

